



## Philosophy of Science as an Epistemological Foundation in the Development of Science

Muharleni<sup>1</sup>, Yeni Karneli<sup>2</sup>, Puji Gusri Handayani<sup>3</sup>

<sup>123</sup>Master's Program in Guidance and Counseling, Universitas Negeri Padang

Email: muharleni.s.pdi@gmail.com<sup>1</sup>

### OPEN ACCESS

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 2025-12-30

Revised: 2026-01-02

Accepted: 2026-01-06

### KEYWORDS

Philosophy of Science, Epistemology, Development of Science, Scientific Innovation, Integration of Science, Ethics of Science

### ABSTRACT

The philosophy of science plays a fundamental role as an epistemological foundation in the development of science. This article examines the role of the philosophy of science in shaping the rational and methodological basis of scientific thinking, as well as its implications for innovation and integration of contemporary science. The research method used is a reflective-analytical literature study by examining classical and contemporary literature related to the philosophy of science and epistemology. The results of the study indicate that the philosophy of science not only provides a conceptual framework for the validation and justification of knowledge, but also guides the selection of appropriate scientific methods and facilitates interdisciplinary dialogue. In addition, the philosophy of science plays a crucial role in emphasizing the ethical dimensions and social responsibility in the development of science, especially amidst the increasingly complex and pluralistic challenges of the modern and postmodern eras. Thus, strengthening the philosophy of science is key to encouraging the development of science that is not only innovative, but also holistic and sustainable.

### INTRODUCTION

In the history of the development of human civilization, knowledge has served as a fundamental pillar shaping patterns of thought, civilization, and cultural direction. However, few realize that behind the solid structure of knowledge lies a philosophical foundation, namely the philosophy of science. The philosophy of science is not merely an abstract theoretical construct, but rather a system of thought that provides a conceptual and rational framework for the development of scientific knowledge. In this regard, epistemology, as a major branch of the philosophy of science, plays a crucial role in questioning and explaining how knowledge is acquired, validated, and developed scientifically (Suriasumantri, 2007).

The development of science cannot be separated from the historical and philosophical contexts that accompany it. Science is not a neutral entity that emerges spontaneously; instead, it is the result of a long dialectical process between human thought and the reality it confronts. Within this context, the philosophy of science functions as a reflective instrument for exploring the deepest dimensions of knowledge. It examines the

foundations of knowledge (*fundamenta scientiae*) from ontological perspectives (the nature of reality), epistemological perspectives (the nature of knowledge), and axiological perspectives (the values embedded in science) (Zahrah, 2018). Therefore, understanding the philosophy of science means understanding the roots of the entire scientific framework that we adhere to today.

Epistemology, as the central dimension of the philosophy of science, focuses on the sources and validity of knowledge. It raises fundamental questions such as: “How do we know that something is true?”, “What are the criteria of truth?”, and “From where does knowledge originate?” (Audi, 2011). These questions are not merely speculative in nature; rather, they determine the direction and methodology of scientific inquiry. In the development of science, without a strong epistemological foundation, the scientific process risks losing its orientation and becoming a purely technical activity with minimal philosophical reflection.

The significance of the philosophy of science in the development of knowledge is also evident in both Western and Islamic intellectual traditions. In the Western tradition, thinkers such as Descartes, Bacon, and Kant made substantial contributions to redefining scientific methods based on specific epistemological frameworks. Meanwhile, within the Islamic tradition, philosophers such as Al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, and Al-Ghazali extensively discussed epistemology in relation to the interplay between revelation, reason, and empirical experience (Nasr, 2006). These two traditions demonstrate that the philosophy of science has never been detached from the dynamics of scientific development; rather, it serves as the vital spirit that animates it.

In the modern era, the challenges surrounding the development of science have become increasingly complex. Advances in technology, artificial intelligence, and the growing complexity of global problems demand scientific approaches that are not only empirical but also reflective and critical. In this context, the philosophy of science becomes highly relevant in guiding the direction and objectives of scientific development. It encourages scientists not merely to pursue objective truth, but also to consider the ethical, social, and existential implications of their scientific discoveries (Moser, 2002).

Moreover, the philosophy of science functions as a bridge among various branches of knowledge. In an increasingly differentiated scientific landscape, the philosophy of science helps to construct cross-disciplinary understanding through coherent epistemological frameworks. For instance, in interdisciplinary engagement between the social sciences and the natural sciences, epistemological approaches are essential for integrating diverse perspectives in understanding a single, complex reality (Chalmers, 1999). Thus, the philosophy of science is not only theoretically significant but also strategically important in fostering scholarly dialogue across disciplines.

The development of knowledge must also take into account epistemological crises such as truth relativism, methodological skepticism, and ideological bias. The philosophy of science provides conceptual tools to confront these crises by critically examining foundational assumptions that have long been taken for granted. In this sense, the philosophy of science is not merely a form of passive reflection, but rather serves as a corrective and innovative force within scientific knowledge itself (Okasha, 2002).

In the context of higher education, an understanding of the philosophy of science is of paramount importance for academics and researchers. Without epistemological awareness, the research process risks losing its philosophical significance. This condition is evident in many scholarly works that become confined to data collection without being accompanied by deep theoretical reflection and rigorous epistemic critique. Therefore, the

philosophy of science should not be positioned merely as an introductory course, but rather as a core component in the formation of scientific modes of thinking (Surajiyo, 2016).

It must be recognized that the epistemological foundations of science determine its validity and objectivity. When these foundations are fragile, the entire structure of scientific knowledge becomes vulnerable to manipulation and distortion. Hence, scientific integrity requires epistemological clarity, which can only be achieved through a sound understanding of the philosophy of science. This is what positions the philosophy of science as the “intellectual guardian” of the development of science and technology (Noeng Muhadjir, 2003).

This article aims to systematically examine how the philosophy of science particularly its epistemological dimension serves as the primary foundation for the development of scientific knowledge. Employing a qualitative approach based on a literature review, the author analyzes the relationship between the philosophy of science and science, and identifies the contributions of the philosophy of science in addressing contemporary scientific challenges. It is expected that this article will contribute to a critical understanding of the fundamental role of philosophy in scientific practice and development.

By referring to various philosophical perspectives and intellectual traditions, this paper seeks to affirm that all scientific development requires a strong philosophical foundation. It is not sufficient for science to be merely “practically useful”; it must also be “philosophically sound.” Therefore, in an increasingly complex and ambiguous world, the philosophy of science serves as a guiding light that directs scientific inquiry, preventing it from becoming lost in the labyrinth of pragmatism and utilitarianism devoid of reflective depth.

## **METHOD**

This article employs a qualitative approach using a reflective–analytical library research method. The author critically examines key literature in the philosophy of science, epistemology, and the development of scientific knowledge, drawing on both classical and contemporary sources. The data are obtained from scholarly books, reputable journal articles, and recent academic publications relevant to the topic (Creswell, 2014; Booth, Colomb, & Williams, 2008). This approach enables the author to explore and analyze the role of the philosophy of science as an epistemological foundation, while relating it to the context of modern and postmodern developments in scientific knowledge in a comprehensive and argumentative manner. The analysis integrates philosophical reasoning and logical interpretation to formulate syntheses and theoretical implications relevant to the contemporary development of science (Audi, 2011).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **The Nature and Scope of the Philosophy of Science**

The philosophy of science is a branch of philosophy that specifically examines the foundations, methods, structures, and purposes of scientific knowledge. It differs from science itself in that it does not produce new knowledge about particular objects; rather, it reflects on the structure and validity of existing knowledge. Unlike the natural or social sciences, the philosophy of science is not empirical in nature, but analytical and critical. It raises fundamental questions concerning what is meant by “scientific knowledge,” how such knowledge is acquired, and how we can determine that it is true (Suriasumantri, 2007).

The scope of the philosophy of science encompasses three main domains: ontology, epistemology, and axiology. Ontology addresses the nature of reality or the existence of the objects studied by science; epistemology examines the nature of knowledge, the means of

acquiring knowledge, as well as the validity and limits of knowledge; while axiology concerns the values and ethics embedded in scientific knowledge, including the responsibility of scientists for the consequences of their discoveries (Zahrah, 2018). In the context of scientific development, epistemology emerges as the most crucial domain, as it directly engages with the roots of all scientific activities.

The philosophy of science occupies a strategic position because it situates science within a broader framework of meaning and its relationship to human existence. In the modern era, which is dominated by increasing scientific specialization, the philosophy of science functions as an integrative and guiding force for the development of diverse and fragmented scientific disciplines. Through the philosophy of science, we gain insight not only into “how” science operates, but also into “why” and “for what purpose” science is developed.

### **Epistemology as the Core of the Philosophy of Science**

Epistemology constitutes the core of the philosophy of science because it concerns the processes and criteria through which scientific knowledge is formed. Epistemology addresses questions regarding how knowledge is acquired whether through reason (rationalism), sensory experience (empiricism), intuition, or revelation. Moreover, it examines how we distinguish between valid and invalid knowledge, and how knowledge can be logically and systematically justified (Audi, 2011).

The epistemological framework involves three main elements: the subject of knowledge (the knower or researcher), the object of knowledge (what is studied), and the relationship between the two. Epistemological processes engage various cognitive instruments, including the senses, reason, intuition, and, in certain approaches, religious belief. In the Western tradition, modern epistemology developed through debates between rationalism (as represented by Descartes and Spinoza) and empiricism (as advanced by Locke and Hume), which were later synthesized by Immanuel Kant through his critical philosophy. In contrast, within the Islamic intellectual tradition, philosophers such as Al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, and Al-Ghazali discussed epistemology in a more comprehensive framework that recognized revelation as a legitimate source of knowledge alongside reason and experience (Nasr, 2006).

In the contemporary era, epistemology has continued to evolve. For example, Karl Popper proposed falsification as a superior scientific method, while Thomas Kuhn introduced the concept of scientific paradigms to explain revolutionary changes in science. Subsequently, approaches such as critical realism, constructivism, and postmodernism have emerged, each contributing new perspectives to epistemological debates. These developments demonstrate that epistemology is not static, but continuously engaged with changing realities and evolving scientific contexts.

### **The Relationship between Epistemology and Scientific Knowledge**

Epistemology plays a fundamental role in determining the direction and quality of scientific knowledge. Without a solid epistemological foundation, science is prone to subjective bias, reductionism, or even data manipulation driven by particular interests. Scientific knowledge developed on a strong epistemological basis tends to demonstrate greater methodological consistency, openness to criticism, and the capacity to rationally validate truth claims (Okasha, 2002).

Science cannot stand independently without a philosophical foundation, as the scientific method itself is essentially an application of epistemological principles. For example, processes such as observation, data collection, hypothesis formulation, and empirical testing represent manifestations of empiricist–positivist approaches rooted in

specific epistemological assumptions. Conversely, many social sciences rely on interpretive and critical approaches, which are grounded in different epistemological traditions. Therefore, to properly understand and advance scientific knowledge, one must possess an adequate understanding of epistemology.

Moreover, epistemology helps us recognize that scientific truth is relative and provisional rather than absolute. This awareness is crucial in preventing the absolutization of science, which may be ethically and practically problematic. Epistemology teaches that every scientific theory must remain open to criticism and revision. In this way, the philosophy of science particularly epistemology encourages a scientific attitude characterized by humility, openness, and critical reflection.

### **The Role of the Philosophy of Science as the Foundation of Scientific Thinking**

The philosophy of science occupies a vital position in shaping a foundation of scientific thinking that is not only systematic and rational, but also reflective and contextual. In scientific inquiry, scientists are required not merely to conduct experiments or observations, but also to understand the ontological, epistemological, and axiological foundations of their scholarly activities. The philosophy of science provides a conceptual framework that ensures scientific reasoning is not purely procedural, but is accompanied by a deep understanding of the nature of knowledge, truth, and reality. This view aligns with Rosenberg's (2021) argument that the philosophy of science helps scientists clarify underlying assumptions that may not be visible in everyday practice, yet significantly determine the direction and validity of the knowledge produced.

Scientific thinking presupposes the existence of knowledge structures that can be tested and logically organized. Here, epistemology as a central branch of the philosophy of science serves as an indispensable critical foundation. Through epistemological reflection, scientists are encouraged to examine the sources of knowledge, the methods employed, and the validity and limits of scientific truth itself. An epistemologically minded scientist will question whether the data collected genuinely reflect objective reality or merely represent products of specific social or cultural constructions (Pritchard, 2018). Without a grounding in the philosophy of science, scientific knowledge risks becoming trapped in a narrow positivism that neglects social, historical, and value-laden contexts.

The philosophy of science also cultivates a healthy critical and skeptical attitude among scientists, as emphasized in Karl Popper's principle of falsificationism. This attitude is essential for distinguishing genuine science from pseudoscience or ideological propaganda disguised as scientific inquiry. The philosophy of science reminds us that scientific knowledge is neither final nor absolute, but always open to revision and improvement. In this context, scientific thinking entails a willingness to acknowledge error, accept criticism, and replace outdated theories with more robust ones an essential principle in the advancement of knowledge (Ladyman, 2019). Such an approach fosters an intellectual habitus that is open, critical, and responsible in every research process.

Furthermore, the philosophy of science plays a crucial role in integrating rational inquiry with ethical considerations in scientific development. Axiology, as a component of the philosophy of science, emphasizes that scientific knowledge is never value-free. Choices of methodology, research topics, and the application of research findings are inevitably intertwined with ethical considerations and social interests. Scientific thinking grounded in the philosophy of science therefore requires reflection on the consequences of scientific discoveries for human life and the environment. This perspective is supported by Briggie and Mitcham (2020), who argue that the philosophy of science must function as a reflective

tool guiding the trajectory of contemporary science and technology to prevent dehumanization and ecological degradation.

Ultimately, the importance of the philosophy of science as the foundation of scientific thinking also lies in its contribution to shaping a healthy academic ecosystem and scholarly culture. At the level of higher education, mastery of the philosophy of science enables students and researchers not merely to become consumers of theories, but also developers and critics of them. They are trained not only to accept knowledge as given, but to understand how it is constructed, justified, and situated in relation to other paradigms. In societies increasingly affected by digital information and disinformation, scientific thinking grounded in the philosophy of science becomes an essential asset for cultivating a rational and critical public that is resilient to hoaxes and pseudo-knowledge (McIntyre, 2018). Therefore, the role of the philosophy of science should not be reduced to an abstract academic discourse, but recognized as a foundational pillar for all efforts to seek and disseminate knowledge in the contemporary era.

### **Epistemology as a Rational and Methodological Foundation**

Epistemology, as a central branch of the philosophy of science, plays a crucial role in providing a rational and methodological foundation for scientific inquiry. It addresses fundamental questions such as: What is knowledge? How is knowledge acquired? What distinguishes justified belief from mere opinion? Within this framework, epistemology offers a conceptual structure that enables scientists to systematically examine the validity and reliability of their knowledge claims. Referring to the classical concept of justified true belief, scientific knowledge must not only be believed and true, but also supported by rational justification and subject to critical examination (Audi, 2011). Consequently, epistemology constitutes the backbone of all scientific endeavors aimed at pursuing objective and defensible truth.

The rational foundation of scientific epistemology emphasizes that every knowledge claim must be subject to the principles of logic and critical reasoning. Such rationality guides scientists to construct arguments based on evidence, avoid fallacious reasoning, and develop theories with both internal and external coherence. Epistemology teaches that knowledge should not be derived from unfounded assumptions or mere intuition, but must undergo rigorous processes of verification or falsification. According to contemporary epistemologists such as Duncan Pritchard (2018), the strength of rationality in epistemology lies in its capacity to generate justified belief, rather than merely producing opinion. In this sense, epistemology functions as a primary filter that distinguishes scientifically valid information from that which lacks epistemic legitimacy.

From a methodological perspective, epistemology plays a decisive role in determining which scientific procedures are considered legitimate for acquiring knowledge. For instance, within the positivist paradigm, scientific methods are oriented toward empirical observation, experimentation, and quantitative measurement; whereas within the constructivist paradigm, qualitative approaches such as in-depth interviews and narrative analysis are regarded as more appropriate. Epistemology assists scientists in selecting and designing methods that are consistent with their underlying philosophical assumptions. This is crucial because methods are not epistemically neutral; they inherently carry specific epistemological commitments (Ladyman, 2019). Consequently, epistemological understanding serves as a bridge between theory and practice, between conceptual frameworks and research instruments.

Furthermore, epistemology opens space for the plurality of ways of knowing that are increasingly necessary to address contemporary global challenges. In today's interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary contexts, monolithic approaches to knowledge production are no

longer adequate. Epistemology provides legitimacy to hermeneutic, phenomenological, and even indigenous knowledge approaches, each of which possesses its own logic and validity within specific cultural contexts. With an inclusive and reflective epistemological foundation, science does not become hegemonic, but rather dialogical open to diverse ways of understanding reality (Zahrah, 2018; Figueiredo & Tavares, 2020). This is the strength of epistemology as a rational and methodological foundation: it not only guides clarity of thought, but also cultivates wisdom in the pursuit of knowledge.

### **Implications of the Philosophy of Science for Innovation and the Integration of Contemporary Knowledge**

The philosophy of science has strategic implications for fostering scientific innovation that is not merely technical, but also foundational in shaping the direction of knowledge development. By providing a reflective framework for examining scientific principles and assumptions, the philosophy of science enables scholars to identify the limitations of existing theories and methodologies while envisioning alternative approaches that are more relevant to contemporary conditions. This is particularly significant in the era of the Fourth Industrial Revolution and Society 5.0, where rapid technological and social transformations demand scientific approaches that are adaptive, integrative, and multidimensional (Schwab, 2017). By questioning dominant paradigms and encouraging paradigm shifts as articulated by Thomas Kuhn the philosophy of science acts not only as a theory about science, but also as a catalyst for scientific transformation itself.

Beyond innovation, the philosophy of science also plays a crucial role in integrating scientific disciplines that are often fragmented due to excessive specialization. Contemporary scientific challenges are characterized by their complexity and interconnectedness, which cannot be adequately addressed through isolated disciplinary perspectives. Here, the philosophy of science reinforces transdisciplinary and integrative principles by building conceptual bridges between the natural sciences, social sciences, humanities, and even religious studies (Morin, 2008). By positioning epistemology as a meeting point between scientific logic and human meaning, the philosophy of science creates a space for interscientific dialogue that is complementary rather than exclusionary.

Another significant implication of the philosophy of science lies in strengthening the ethical dimension and social responsibility of scientific innovation. The rapid advancement of science and technology is inseparable from its social, cultural, and ecological consequences. The philosophy of science encourages scholars to ask not only “What can science do?” but also “What should science do?” This axiological perspective is particularly vital in addressing global crises such as climate change, social inequality, and digital disruption, all of which require scientific approaches grounded in justice and sustainability (Briggle & Mitcham, 2020). Thus, the philosophy of science directs innovation not merely toward technological efficiency, but toward humanization and the sustainability of life.

Finally, the philosophy of science provides a critical foundation for developing educational models and higher education curricula that are responsive to twenty-first-century demands. Teaching and learning processes grounded in the philosophy of science encourage students not only to master content, but also to cultivate reflective, critical, creative, and ethical thinking skills. These competencies are essential for producing scientists and professionals who are not only technically proficient, but also philosophically aware and socially responsible. Consequently, the implications of the philosophy of science for innovation and integration are not merely theoretical, but profoundly practical in shaping an inclusive, collaborative, and meaningful future of knowledge.

## **Challenges and Relevance of the Philosophy of Science in the Modern and Postmodern Era**

In the modern era, characterized by strong confidence in rationality, objectivity, and technological progress, the philosophy of science faces the challenge of maintaining its relevance amid the dominance of positivist and reductionist approaches. Scientific knowledge is often regarded as the sole source of truth, while philosophical, ethical, and spiritual dimensions of knowledge are frequently marginalized. In this context, the philosophy of science must demonstrate that philosophical reflection does not hinder progress, but rather enriches and deepens our understanding of the realities under investigation. Even in modernity, questions concerning the ontological, epistemological, and axiological foundations of science remain essential to prevent the reduction of knowledge to mere data accumulation and technical procedures.

Entering the postmodern era, the challenges confronting the philosophy of science become even more complex. Truth relativism, the deconstruction of meaning, and skepticism toward grand narratives have destabilized classical epistemological foundations. Within this intellectual climate, the philosophy of science must adapt without abandoning its core commitments to clarity, coherence, and responsibility in knowledge production. Its continued relevance lies in its critical capacity to evaluate diverse truth claims, including those emerging from contemporary discourses such as posthumanism, ecofeminism, and indigenous knowledge systems. The ability of the philosophy of science to engage dialogically with these perspectives positions it as a vital bridge between scientific inquiry and wisdom in an increasingly plural world.

Moreover, the digital era and the rise of artificial intelligence present both new challenges and opportunities for the philosophy of science. Questions such as “Can algorithms generate knowledge?” and “How is scientific validity determined in the age of big data?” constitute new frontiers for epistemological and ethical reflection. In this context, the philosophy of science helps humanity avoid technological determinism while preserving autonomy and integrity in the pursuit of knowledge. It equips scholars not only to advance scientific understanding, but also to critically assess its direction and impact on humanity and the planet as a whole.

## **CONCLUSION**

The philosophy of science serves as a highly crucial epistemological foundation in the development of scientific knowledge, as it provides a robust rational and methodological framework for the process of acquiring valid and accountable knowledge. Through philosophical reflection, scientists are encouraged to critically examine the foundations of their knowledge, ensuring that science does not develop mechanically, but rather consciously and purposefully. The role of the philosophy of science as the basis of scientific thinking fosters the development of critical, analytical, and systematic attitudes that are characteristic of scientific reasoning.

Epistemology, as a branch of the philosophy of science, not only emphasizes the importance of validity and justification in knowledge, but also guides the selection of appropriate methods that are coherent with the scientific paradigm being employed. In the context of contemporary scientific inquiry, which is increasingly complex and multidisciplinary, the philosophy of science plays a significant role in integrating diverse approaches and models of knowledge into a more holistic and inclusive framework. Moreover, the philosophy of science introduces ethical and social responsibility dimensions that direct scientific innovation not merely toward technological advancement, but also toward sustainability and humanity.

Despite facing significant challenges in the modern and postmodern eras marked by relativism and technological disruption the philosophy of science remains relevant as a critical foundation for the sustainable development of knowledge. Through a deep understanding of epistemology, scientists and academics are able to continuously reflect on their assumptions and methodologies, while anticipating the social and cultural impacts of scientific progress. Therefore, strengthening the philosophy of science in education and research is essential to ensure that the development of scientific knowledge produces not only technical innovations, but also profound and responsible understanding.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Audi, R. (2011). *Epistemology: A Contemporary Introduction to the Theory of Knowledge*. Routledge.
- Booth, W. C., Colomb, G. G., & Williams, J. M. (2008). *The craft of research* (3rd ed.). University of Chicago Press.
- Briggle, A., & Mitcham, C. (2020). *Ethics and science: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Chalmers, A. F. (1999). *What is this thing called science?* (3rd ed.). Hackett Publishing Company.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Figueiredo, M., & Tavares, M. (2020). Rethinking epistemology in contemporary science: From universalism to contextual plurality. *Science & Education*, 29(3), 657–673. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-020-00116-z>
- Ladyman, J. (2019). *Understanding philosophy of science* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Ladyman, J. (2019). *Understanding philosophy of science* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- McIntyre, L. (2018). *Post-truth*. MIT Press.
- Morin, E. (2008). *On complexity*. Hampton Press.
- Moser, P. K. (2002). *The Oxford Handbook of Epistemology*. Oxford University Press.
- Muhadjir, N. (2003). *Filsafat Ilmu: Paradigma, Teori dan Metodologi Penelitian Sosial*. Rake Sarasin.
- Nasr, S. H. (2006). *Science and Civilization in Islam*. Harvard University Press.
- Okasha, S. (2002). *Philosophy of Science: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Pritchard, D. (2018). *What is this thing called knowledge?* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Zahrah, N. (2018). *Epistemologi Islam: Kritik dan relevansinya terhadap ilmu pengetahuan kontemporer*. Rajagrafindo Persada.
- Pritchard, D. (2018). *What is this thing called knowledge?* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Rosenberg, A. (2021). *Philosophy of science: A contemporary introduction* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Schwab, K. (2017). *The fourth industrial revolution*. Crown Publishing Group.
- Surajiyo. (2016). *Filsafat Ilmu dan Perkembangannya*. Bumi Aksara.
- Suriasumantri, J. S. (2007). *Filsafat ilmu: Sebuah pengantar populer* (Edisi Revisi). Pustaka Sinar Harapan.
- Zahrah, N. (2018). *Epistemologi Islam: Kritik dan relevansinya terhadap ilmu pengetahuan kontemporer*. Rajagrafindo Persada.